Group Art Unit: 3623

والسيدنان الم

## FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.

A REGISTERED LIMITED LIABILITY PARTNERSHIP 555 SOUTH FLOWER STREET FORTY-FIRST FLOOR Los Angeles, California 90071 WWW.FULBRIGHT.COM

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** 

**JAN 11 2006** 

09736

10026595

### FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

<b>DATE:</b> January 11, 2006	Matter Number:			10026595	
RECIPIENT(S):	FAX No.:	PH	PHONE NO.:		
Examining Attorney S. L. Jarrett United States Patent and Trademark Office	(571) 273-8300				

FROM:	John May			FLOOR:	40
PHONE:	(213) 892-9315	FAX:	(213) 892-949	4	
RE:	U.S. Patent Application Serial No.: 0 Entitled: METHOD, PROCESS ANI	9/801,366 D SYSTEM			
	F PAGES INCLUDING COVER PAGE:	3			
Message:					

### **CAUTION - CONFIDENTIAL**

THE INFORMATION CONTAINED IN THIS FACSIMILE IS CONFIDENTIAL AND MAY ALSO CONTAIN PRIVILEGED ATTORNEY-CLIENT INFORMATION OR WORK PRODUCT. THE INFORMATION IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE INDIVIDUAL OR ENTITY TO WHOM IT IS ADDRESSED. IF YOU ARE NOT THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, OR THE EMPLOYEE OR AGENT RESPONSIBLE TO DELIVER IT TO THE INTENDED RECIPIENT, YOU ARE HEREBY NOTIFIED THAT ANY USE, DISSEMINATION, DISTRIBUTION OR COPYING OF THIS COMMUNICATION IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THE FACSIMILE IN ERROR, PLEASE IMMEDIATELY NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE, AND RETURN THE ORIGINAL MESSAGE TO US AT THE ADDRESS ABOVE VIA THE U.S. POSTAL SERVICE. THANK YOU.

IF YOU DO NOT RECEIVE ALL OF THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL DENA HILL AT (213) 892-9354 AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

# RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER

Jan-11-06 04:36pm From-

12138929679

T-458 P.002/003 F-767

JAN 11 2006

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to:
Group 3600 @ (571) 272-3500 addressed to: Commissioner for Patents,
P.O. 8ox 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, on the date showing low.

Dated: <u>January 11, 2006</u>

Signature: William S

Docket No.: LA-7340-101US (PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Kevin W. Young

Application No.: 09/801,366

Filed: March 6, 2001

For: METHOD, PROCESS AND SYSTEM FOR

OPTIMIZED OUTCOME DRIVEN WORKFLOW SYNTHESIS AND

REDUCTION

Confirmation No.: 4284

Art Unit: 3623

Examiner: S. L. Jarrett

Notice of Allowance: November 9, 2005

## APPLICANT HEREBY COMMENTS ON THE NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

Applicant hereby responds after receipt of the Notice of Allowability issued in the above-referenced application as follows:

Even if the experienced project manager routinely "compared tasks \*\*\* amongst a plurality of projects" and "would be capable" of performing certain functions (as contended by the Examiner in the first office action, eg at the top of page 11), it does not necessarily follow that such practices and capabilities were "old and well known in the art", as now contended by the Examiner in the Notice of Allowability.

Moreover, citation of possibly relevant information in an IDS is not an "admission" that the information was "well known in the art".

Applicants are unaware of any CAFC or Supreme Court precedent that requires an applicant to traverse the prior art effect of references that are cited but not applied (or were applied only in a rejection that was successfully overcome), such that in the

Docket No.: LA-7340-101US

Application No.: 09/801,366

absence of an explicit traversal, the applicant is judicially estopped from later contending in other proceedings that the cited references are not in fact prior art.

Applicants did argue, and the Examiner now apparently agrees, that the cited prior art is "incapable of performing the recited process steps 'automatically'", and that there is "no suggestion or motivation [in the cited prior art] for implementing such an automated process". In particular, applicants agree with the Examiner that the Microsoft Project 200 and Scitor Project Scheduler 4 references of record "fail to teach or suggest either singularly or in combination automatically integrating an existing/controlling work breakdown structure and workflow with another work breakdown structure and workflow". Note that the newly cited Rasmus article explains to his audience of "hard core project managers" that "all the work of categorization and division must take place outside the [Scitor Project Scheduler 4] program".

Applicant believes no fee is due with this response. However, if a fee is due, please charge our Deposit Account No. 50-0337, under Order No. LA-7340-101US from which the undersigned is authorized to draw.

Dated: <u>January 11, 2006</u>

Respectfully submitted,

John May

Registration No.: 26,200

FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI L.L.P.

555 South Flower Street

Forty-First Floor

Los Angeles, California 90071

(213) 892-9200

(213) 892-9494 (Fax)

Attorney for Applicant